

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/453,158	12/01/1999	V. PISETSKI	TR01-P04	2232
759	90 06/19/2002		\ /`	
JOHN S REID 1926 S VALLEYVIEW LANE			EXAMINER TANLOR VICTOR I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2862	_
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5	Available C	opy American		
- W		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	09/453,158	PISETSKI ET AL.	ĵ
	emee Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	Victor J Taylor	2862	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a station.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communic	ation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>01 December 1999</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal ma under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the meri D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applic	eation.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
	he specification is objected to by the Exa			
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b) $igties$ objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
40\□ 7	If approved, corrected drawings are required			
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))		
	knowledgment is made of a claim for dor			ation)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign languag cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has be	en received.	
Attachment(	s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	JU GIIGIOI 121.	
2) 🚺 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) to Action	_·

Art Unit: 2862

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. 1The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 8 April 2002. These drawings are approved.

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper time wise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Torrington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,028,820 and claim 1 of US patent 5,796,678.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of claim 1 of US 5,796,678 and claim 1 of US 6,028,820 cite the same limitations of claim 2 of the instant application and when combined with the claim 1 limitations of the instant application, including the vectors cites the same subject matter and encamps the invention of US patent 6,028,820 and US patent 5,796,678.

Art Unit: 2862

Į Ì

سر 🔞

Additionally claim 4 is dependent on claim 3 of the instant application it encamps the equation subject matter for US 6,028,820 in claim 6. Claim 3 of the instant application cites the limitation of, "wherein said first selected horizon has associated travel times, and wherein said insteneous amplitudes and frequencies are calculated by the Hilbert Transformation using said travel times."

This limitation when combined with the instant application limitations of claim 2 described above duplicates the claim limitations of claim 1, claim 2 and claim 3 as found in U. S Application No. 6,028,820.

Claims 5-8 are dependent claims based on the rejected base claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in-
- (1) An application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) A patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watts III in US 6,108,608.

With regard to claim 1, Watts III discloses a method for estimating the properties one of which is determining the location of a multi component fluid in a subterranean formation 18 in figure 1 by using pseudo components as found in lines 15-25 column 3.

Art Unit: 2862

Watts III discloses the limitation of determining a first velocity vector Vx with attributes of speed and direction of flow, and discloses this limitation as one or more pseudo components in line 23 and defining an ordered set of vectors corresponding to a characteristic of the base vectors, and teaches a subset of vectors in lines 25-33 of column 3.

Watts III further discloses the limitation of determining a second velocity vector Vy for migration of fluid in the zone18 in figure 1 as the plurality of component vector matrix in equation 1 and 2, and discloses the intermediate vectors in line 40 columns 9.

Watts III further discloses the limitation of extrapolating the velocity vectors 15 to identify the fluid accumulation 18 in figure 1 and as an order set of vectors in lines 30-45 of column 27 combined with the tables found in column 21 and 22 lines 1-66.

As to claim 2, Watts III further discloses the limitation of picking, calculating and determining the amplitude and frequencies as the estimating steps for defining and selecting a subset and set of vectors in lines 15-60 of column 3.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patent ability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watts III in U. S. 6,108,608.

Art Unit: 2862

As to claim 3, Watts III further discloses the limitation of equations derived by mathematical techniques in line28 of column 5 and discloses a selection of different mathematical models in line 58 and discloses multiple mathematical models in lines 45 to 65 of column 7. The selection of the Hilbert Transform is designer's choice from several models commonly found in the arts. Watts III does not mention specifically the term Hilbert Transformation.

As to claim 4, Watts III further discloses the limitation of calculation victors using the equation found in line 60 of column 10. He does not disclose the exact equation as cited in the claim limitation.

As to claim 5, Watts III further discloses the limitation of approximated linear combination of vectors using equation 8 in line 35 of column 11. He does not disclose the exact equation as cited in the claim limitation.

As to claim 6, Watts III further discloses the limitation of calculations for the cubic equation for the fluid represented by the two scalars X and Y using the cubic equation 20 in line 10-45 of column 13. He does not show the exact permeability equation on partial deviritives using "r" as the scalar.

As to claim 7, Watts III further discloses the limitation of obtaining geological data as the characteristics of base components in line 27 of column 3 in the region of interest 18 in figure 1.

As to claim 8, Watts III further discloses the limitation of matching physical parameters by matching data in line 45 of column 4 using the equations in lines 15-40 of column 13. He does not disclose the exact equations cited in the limitations.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rahon et al., US 6,038,389 is cited for the method of modeling in a material environment.

Lailly et al., US 2001/0051854 A1 is cited for the 3-D prestack seismic data migration method.

Vienot et al., US 5,835,882 is cited for the method of determining barriers to reservoir flow.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor J Taylor whose telephone number is 703-305-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Days 9AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 703-305-4816. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

Examiner Taylor

AU 2862

EDWARÓ LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800